

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
087.488	,331 12/11/	Y/ FINN	ļ.,	1968-RE155
	QM31/0122 — NEIL D GERSHON UNITED STATES SURGICAL CORPORATION		EXAMINER SHAI, D	
150 GL	OVER AVENUE CT 06856	CHE CORPORATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			DATE MAILED:	01/22/99

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

**Commissioner of Patents** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/988,331

Applicant(s)

Finn et al.

Examiner

Daphna Shai

Group Art Unit 3731



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for forma in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expir is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	pond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	is/are allowed.		
	is/are rejected.		
X Claim(s) 26, 27, 34, 35, and 46	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requireme			
Application Papers  X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	aw PTO-948		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to I			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	із <u>шаррі очей</u> шізаррі очей.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	,		
	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. ₹ 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the p.			
☐ received.	,		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			
received in this national stage application from the Interna			
*Certified copies not received:			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	LLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 08/988,331 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 17-25, 28-33, and 36-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Brumfield et al. (5,562,662).
- 3. Claims 17, 22-25, 28, 29, 33, and 40-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mirkovic (5,254,118).
- 4. An anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 102(e) is established when a single prior art reference discloses, either expressly or under principles of inherency, each and every element of a claimed invention. See RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Systems, Inc., 730 F.2d 1440, 221 USPQ 385 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

Application/Control Number: 08/988,331 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

It is well settled that the law of anticipation does not require that the reference teach what appellant is teaching or has disclosed, but only that the claims on appeal "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claims are found in the reference. See Kalman v. Kimberly Clark corp., 713 F.2d 760, 218 USPQ 781 (Fed. Cir. 1083). Moreover, it is not necessary for the applied reference to expressly disclose or describe a particular element or limitation of a rejected claim word for word as in the rejected claim so long as the reference inherently discloses that element or limitation. See, for example, Standard Havens Products Inc. v. Gencor Industries Inc., 953 F.2d 1360, 21 USPQ2d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-16 and 47 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 26, 27, 34, 35 and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 08/988,331

Art Unit: 3731

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Page 4

should be directed to Daphna Shai whose telephone number is (703) 308-1685. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Michael Buiz, can be reached on (703) 308-0871. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)

308-0758.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

OI

Daphna Shai

Patent Examiner January 18, 1999

> MICHAEL BUIZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 33